arXiv:1606.03210v1 [math.OA] 10 Jun 2016

On the Wiener-Hopf compactification of a symmetric cone

S. Sundar

June 13, 2016

Abstract

Let V be a finite dimensional real Euclidean Jordan algebra with the identity element 1. Let Q be the closed convex cone of squares. We show that the Wiener-Hopf compactification of Q is the interval $\{x \in V : -1 \leq x \leq 1\}$. As a consequence, we deduce that the K-groups of the Wiener-Hopf C^*-algebra associated to Q are trivial.

AMS Classification No. : Primary 46L80; Secondary 17CXX.
Keywords. Wiener-Hopf C^*-algebras, Compactification, Jordan algebras.

1 Introduction

Let P be a closed convex cone of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that P is spanning i.e. $P - P = \mathbb{R}^n$. For $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $W(f)$ be the operator on $L^2(P)$ defined by

$$W(f)\xi(s) = \int_{t \in P} f(s-t)\xi(t)dt$$

for $\xi \in L^2(P)$. The C^*-algebra generated by $\{W(f) : f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ is called the Wiener-Hopf C^*-algebra associated to the cone P and is denoted $\mathcal{W}(P)$. The study of $\mathcal{W}(P)$ from the groupoid perspective was initiated by Muhly and Renault in [MR82] and further developed by Nica in [Nic87].

Let us recall the groupoid model for $\mathcal{W}(P)$ obtained in [MR82]. Let Y be the unit ball of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ endowed with the weak $*$-topology. The group $\mathbb{R}^n$ acts on Y by translations. Let X be the closure of $\{1_{a-P} : a \in P\}$ in Y. Here as usual, for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, 1_A denotes the characteristic function of A. Note that X is compact and is left invariant by the

additive semigroup P. We call X as the Wiener-Hopf compactification of P. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the reduction of the transformation groupoid $Y \rtimes \mathbb{R}^n$ onto X. Then it is proved in [MR82] that $\mathcal{W}(P)$ is isomorphic to the reduced C^*-algebra of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$. For a self-contained treatment of these results for a general Ore semigroup, we refer the reader to [RS15]. For a generalization in the context of ordered homogeneous spaces, see [HN95].

Two main classes of cones studied in [MR82] are polyhedral cones and self-dual homogeneous cones, also called as symmetric cones. In particular, their Wiener-Hopf compactifications are described in detail. The groupoid model for $\mathcal{W}(P)$, in the case of polyhedral cones, is exploited to obtain index theorems in [AJ07], [AJ08]. Moreover in [All11], it is proved that the K-groups of $\mathcal{W}(P)$ vanish when P is polyhedral. Here we prove that for a self-dual homogeneous cone the K-groups of the Wiener-Hopf C^*-algebra vanish. This requires us to take another look at the Wiener-Hopf compactification of a symmetric cone.

Let V be a finite dimensional real Euclidean Jordan algebra with identity 1. Denote the closed convex cone of squares by Q. Let us recall the description of the Wiener-Hopf compactification of Q given in [MR82]. For an idempotent e in V, let $e^\perp = 1 - e$. Let $P : V \to End(V)$ be the quadratic map which determines the structure of the Jordan algebra. Let $Y := \{(e, x) \in V \times Q : e^2 = e, e^\perp x = x\}$. For $(e, x) \in Y$, let

$$A_{(e,x)} = \{v \in V : x + P(e^\perp)v \geq 0\}.$$

Then it is proved in [MR82] that the map $Y \ni (e, x) \to -A_{(e,x)} \in X$ is a bijection. Also note that the map $Y \ni (e, x) \to e + (x - e^\perp)(x + e^\perp)^{-1} \in V$, (where the expression $(x+e^\perp)^{-1}$ stands for the inverse of $x+e^\perp$ in the Jordan subalgebra $P(e^\perp)V$), is injective with the interval $[-1, 1] := \{u \in V : -1 \leq u \leq 1\}$ as the range. It is then a natural question to ask whether the topology on $[-1, 1]$, transported from the weak $*$-topology on X, is the subspace topology on $[-1, 1]$. The main objective of this short paper is to answer this in the affirmative.

We should remark that this could probably be proved directly following the ideas in [AJ07] (Theorem 9). However, we follow a different path by appealing to the axiomatic characterisation of the Wiener-Hopf compactification of an Ore semigroup (Prop 5.1, [RS15]). We believe that this axiomatic approach is elegant and has potential applications to semigroups other than convex cones. We illustrate this by proving that the Wiener-Hopf algebra associated to the continuous "ax+b"-semigroup has vanishing K-theory.

We should also mention here that for special Jordan algebras, i.e. Jordan algebras that admit an embedding into the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint matrices, the Wiener-

Hopf compactification of the positive elements is identified, via the Cayley transform, with a subset of the unitary group in [Sun15]. The same could be done here by passing to the complexification. Since we are only interested in compactifying Q, we avoid passing to the complexification of V and instead work with the transform $Q \ni x \to \frac{x-1}{x+1} \in V$.

2 Preliminaries

Let P be a topological semigroup and X be a topological space. By an action of P on X, we mean a continuous map $X \times P \to X$, the image of (x, a) is denoted xa, such that $x(ab) = (xa)b$ for $x \in X$ and $a, b \in P$. If P has an identity element e , we demand that $xe = x$ for $x \in X$. We say that the action is injective if for each $a \in P$, the map $X \ni x \to xa \in X$ is injective.

We reserve the letter G to denote a locally compact, Hausdorff, second countable topological group. Let $P \subset G$ be a closed subsemigroup. We say that

(1) P is solid if the interior of P, denoted $Int(P)$, is dense in P, and

(2) P is right Ore if $PP^{-1} = G$.

We start with an elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $P \subset G$ be a closed, solid subsemigroup of G containing the identity element e of G. Let X be a compact metric space and let $\tau : X \times Int(P) \to X$ be an injective action. Then there exists a unique injective action $\widetilde{\tau} : X \times P \to X$ such that $\widetilde{\tau}(x, a) = \tau(x, a)$ for $x \in X$ and $a \in Int(P)$.*

Proof. As usual, we write $\tau(x, a)$ as xa for $x \in X$ and $a \in Int(P)$. Let $x \in X$ and $a \in P$ be given. Let (a_n) be a sequence in $Int(P)$ such that $a_n \to a$. We claim that (xa_n) converges. Since X is a compact metric space, it is enough to show that every convergent subsequence of (xa_n) converges to the same limit. Thus suppose (xa_{n_k}) and (xa_{m_k}) be subsequences of (xa_n) converging to y and z respectively. Let $s \in Int(P)$ be given. Note that $PInt(P) \subset Int(P)$. Since $a_n s \to as$ and the action of $Int(P)$ on X is continuous, it follows that $ys = x(as) = zs$. Since the map $X \ni x \to xs \in X$ is injective, it follows that $y = z$. Hence the sequence (xa_n) converges.

Now let (b_n) and (c_n) be sequences in $Int(P)$ such that $b_n \to a$ and $c_n \to a$. Suppose that $(xb_n) \to y$ and $(xc_n) \to z$. Let $s \in Int(P)$ be given. Since $b_n s \to as$ and $c_n s \to as$, it follows, by the continuity of the action of $Int(P)$, that $ys = x(as) = zs$. Hence $y = z$.

Define for $x \in X$ and $a \in P$, $\widetilde{\tau}(x,a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tau(x,a_n)$ where (a_n) is any sequence in $Int(P)$ converging to a. We have shown that $\widetilde{\tau}$ is well-defined. We leave it to the reader to verify that $\widetilde{\tau}$ is an injective action of P on X and it extends τ. Uniqueness of the action follows from the fact that $Int(P)$ is dense in P. $\square$.

Let $P \subset G$ be a closed subsemigroup containing the identity element. Assume that P is solid and right Ore. We recall the Wiener-Hopf compactification associated to the pair (P,G). Let X be a compact Hausdorff space on which P acts injectively. For $x \in X$, let

$$A_x := \{g \in G : \text{there exists } a,b \in P \text{ and } y \in X \text{ such that } g = ab^{-1} \text{ and } xa = yb\}.$$

Note that since $PInt(P) \subset Int(P)$, for $x \in X$, A_x can alternatively be described as

$$A_x := \{g \in G : \text{there exists } a,b \in Int(P),\ y \in X \text{ such that } g = ab^{-1} \text{ and } xa = yb\}.$$

Definition 2.2 *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space on which P acts injectively. The space X is called the Wiener-Hopf compactification of P if the following conditions are satisfied.*

(C1) For $a \in P$, $\{x(ba) : b \in Int(P), x \in X\}$ is open in X.

(C2) There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $A_{x_0} = P$ and $\{x_0 a : a \in P\}$ is dense in X.

(C3) For $x, y \in X$, if $A_x = A_y$ then $x = y$.

Remark 2.3 *An explicit model for the Wiener-Hopf compactification of the pair (P,G) is constructed in [MR82]. The closure of $\{1_{P^{-1}a} : a \in P\}$ in $L^\infty(G))$ is a model for the Wiener-Hopf compactification. Here $L^\infty(G)$ is given the weak*-topology and the action of P is by right translation. For a self-contained proof of the existence and the uniqueness of the Wiener-Hopf compactification, we refer the reader to the article [RS15], in particular to Prop.5.1, [RS15]. The term "Order compactification" is used instead of the Wiener-Hopf compactification in [RS15].*

Remark 2.4 *Prop 5.1 of [RS15] requires that the map $X \times Int(P) \ni (x,a) \to xa \in X$ is open. However it is proved in Theorem 4.3 of [RS15] that the openness of the map $X \times Int(P) \ni (x,a) \to xa \in X$ is equivalent to (C1).*

We recall the essentials of Jordan algebras and fix notations that is needed to read this paper. We refer the reader to the monograph [FK94] for proofs.

Let V be a finite dimensional real Euclidean Jordan algebra with the identity element 1. For $x \in V$, let $L(x) : V \to V$ be defined by $L(x)y = xy$. Let $P : V \times V \to End(V)$ be the bilinear map defined by the equation $P(x, y) := L(x)L(y) + L(y)L(x) - L(xy)$. For $x \in V$, we simply denote $P(x, x)$ by $P(x)$.

Let $u \in V$ be invertible. For $a, b \in V$, let $a \star_u b = P(a, b)u$. Then $\star_u$ is a Jordan product on V. We denote the Jordan algebra $(V, \star_u)$ by V_u. The Jordan algebra V_u is called the mutation at u. The Jordan algebra V_u is unital with identity u^{-1}. Moreover, for $x \in V$, x is invertible in V if and only if x is invertible in V_u. For an invertible element $x \in V$, we write the inverse of x in V_u by x_u^{-1}. Then $x_u^{-1} = P(u^{-1})x^{-1}$. We also need Hua's identity. Suppose $a, b, a + b \in V$ are invertible, then $a + P(a)b^{-1}$ is invertible and

$$(a + b)^{-1} + (a + P(a)b^{-1})^{-1} = a^{-1}.$$

Assume that V is Euclidean i.e. there exists an inner product $\langle , \rangle$ with respect to which $L(x)$ is symmetric for every $x \in V$. Let $Q := \{x^2 : x \in V\}$. It is well known that $Q = \{x \in V : L(x) \text{ is positive}\}$ and that Q is a closed convex cone. Denote the interior of Q by Ω. Then $\Omega = \{x \in Q : x \text{ is invertible}\}$. Let $x, y \in V$ be given. We write $x \leq y$ if $y - x \in Q$ and write $x < y$ if $y - x \in \Omega$.

For $x \in V$, let

$$\sigma(x) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : x - \lambda.1 \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

The set $\sigma(x)$ is called the spectrum of x. An element $e \in V$ is called an idempotent if $e^2 = e$. Let $e, f \in V$ be idempotents. We say that e and f are orthogonal if $ef = 0$. The spectral theorem holds in finite dimensional Euclidean Jordan algebras i.e. given $x \in V$, there exist orthogonal idempotents, necessarily unique, $\{c_\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}$ such that $x = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(x)} \lambda c_\lambda$ and $\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(x)} c_\lambda = 1$.

We recall now the Peirce decomposition. Let $e \in V$ be an idempotent. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $V_\lambda(e) := \{x \in V : ex = \lambda x\}$. Then V decomposes orthogonally as

$$V = V_0(e) \oplus V_1(e) \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}}(e)$$

and the decomposition is called the Peirce decomposition of V w.r.t. the idempotent e. The orthogonal projection onto $V_1(e)$ is given by $P(e)$. Also $V_1(e)$ is a Jordan subalgebra of V and e is the identity of $V_1(e)$. Let $x \in V_1(e)$ be given. If x is invertible in $V_1(e)$, we denote the inverse of x in $V_1(e)$ as ${}_e x^{-1}$. For an idempotent e in V, set $e^\perp := 1 - e$. Note that $V_0(e^\perp) = V_1(e)$.

3 The Wiener-Hopf Compactification of a symmetric cone

For the rest of this paper, let V be a finite dimensional real Euclidean Jordan algebra with identity 1 and denote the closed convex cone of squares by Q. Denote the interior of Q by Ω. Let

$$X := \{u \in V : -1 \leq u \leq 1\}$$

and endow X with the subspace topology inherited from the topology on V. Then X is compact. We also write $[-1, 1]$ to denote the set X. We show here that X is the Wiener-Hopf compactification of the cone Q. First we describe the action of Q on X. In view of Lemma 2.1, it is enough to describe the action of Ω on X. For $u \in X$ and $a \in \Omega$, let

$$u \boxplus a := 1 - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(\widetilde{u})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1}$$

where $\widetilde{u} := \frac{1-u}{2}$.

Remark 3.1 *Note that for $u \in X$, $\widetilde{u} \geq 0$. Also observe that if $a \in Q$ and $b \in \Omega$ then $a + b \in \Omega$. Hence the map $\boxplus : X \times \Omega \to V$ is well-defined. Since the inversion is continuous, it follows that the map $\boxplus : X \times \Omega \to V$ is continuous.*

Let $i : Q \to X$ be defined by $i(x) = \frac{x-1}{x+1}$. Note that i is an embedding, $i(Q)$ is dense in X and $i(Q) := \{u \in V : -1 \leq u < 1\}$. These are straightforward consequences of the spectral theorem and thus we leave the verifications of these statements to the reader.

Proposition 3.2 *For $u \in X$ and $a \in \Omega$, $u \boxplus a \in X$. Also the map $\boxplus : X \times \Omega \to X$ is an action of Ω on X.*

Proof. Let $x \in Q$ and $a \in \Omega$ be given. We claim that $i(x) \boxplus a = i(x + a)$. Let $u := i(x) = 1 - \frac{2}{x+1}$. Then $\widetilde{u} = (x + 1)^{-1}$ i.e. $x + 1 = \widetilde{u}^{-1}$. Now note that

$$\begin{aligned}
i(x + a) &= 1 - \frac{2}{x + a + 1} \\
&= 1 - 2(\widetilde{u}^{-1} + a)^{-1} \\
&= 1 - 2\Big(\widetilde{u} - \big(\widetilde{u}^{-1} + P(\widetilde{u}^{-1})a^{-1}\big)^{-1}\Big) \quad \Big(\text{ by Hua's identity}\Big) \\
&= 1 - 2\widetilde{u} + 2\big(P(\widetilde{u})^{-1}\widetilde{u} + P(\widetilde{u})^{-1}a^{-1}\big)^{-1} \\
&= 1 - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(\widetilde{u})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $i(x) \boxplus a = i(x+a)$. This proves the claim.

Since $i(Q)$ is dense in X, X is closed in V and the map $\boxplus : X \times \Omega \to V$ is continuous, it follows that $u \boxplus a \in X$ for $u \in X$ and $a \in \Omega$. The equality $i(x) \boxplus a = i(x+a)$ implies that $(u \boxplus a) \boxplus b = u \boxplus (a+b)$ for $u \in i(Q)$ and $a, b \in \Omega$. Now the equality $(u \boxplus a) \boxplus b = u \boxplus (a+b)$ for $u \in X$ and $a, b \in \Omega$ follows from the continuity of the map $\boxplus$ and the density of $i(Q)$ in X. This completes the proof. $\square$

The fact that $\boxplus$ is an injective action requires a bit more work. We now proceed towards proving it. We need the well known fact that there exists an open set $U \subset V$ such that $0 \in U$ and if $x \in U$, $1+x$ is invertible and

$$(1+x)^{-1} = 1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + \cdots$$

The following lemma is crucial in what follows.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $e \in V$ be an idempotent and let $V = V_1(e) \oplus V_0(e) \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}}(e)$ be the Peirce decomposition w.r.t. the idempotent e. Let $a \in \Omega$ be given. Set $a_0 := P(e)a$. Then*

(1) a_0 is invertible in the Jordan algebra $V_1(e)$, and

(2) $P(e)(u + a^{-1})^{-1} = {}_e(u + {}_e a_0^{-1})^{-1}$ for $u \in V_1(e) \cap Q$.

Proof. Recall that if $x \in V_1(e)$ is invertible in $V_1(e)$, we denote the inverse of x in $V_1(e)$ by ${}_e x^{-1}$. Denote the quadratic representation of the Jordan algebra $V_1(e)$ on $V_1(e)$ by P_e. Then $P_e(x) = P(e)P(x)P(e)$ for $x \in V_1(e)$. Since $P(e)$ is a projection, it follows that

$$P_e(a_0) = P(e)P(P(e)a)P(e) = P(e)P(e)P(a)P(e)P(e) = P(e)P(a)P(e).$$

Since $a \in \Omega$, $P(a)$ is invertible and $P(a)$ is positive. Hence the cutdown $P(e)P(a)P(e)$ is invertible on $V_1(e)$. Thus $P_e(a_0)$ is invertible and consequently a_0 is invertible in $V_1(e)$. This proves (1).

Let

$$A := \{u \in V_1(e) \cap Q : P(e)(u + a^{-1})^{-1} = {}_e(u + {}_e a_0^{-1})^{-1}\}.$$

Note that $0 \in A$. We claim that A is a clopen subset of $V_1(e) \cap Q$. Since the inversion is continuous, it follows that A is closed.

Let $u_0 \in A$ be given. Set $w_0 := (u_0 + a^{-1})^{-1}$ and $w_1 := {}_e(u_0 + {}_e a_0^{-1})^{-1}$. Since $u_0 \in A$, $P(e)w_0 = w_1$. Let V_{w_0} be the mutation of V at w_0. Let $U_1 \subset V$ be an open subset containing 0 such that if $u \in U_1$ then $u + w_0^{-1}$ is invertible in V_{w_0} and

$$(u + w_0^{-1})^{-1}_{w_0} = w_0^{-1} - u + u \star_{w_0} u - u \star_{w_0} u \star_{w_0} u + \cdots .$$

Thus for $u \in U_1$, $u + w_0^{-1}$ is invertible in V and

$$\begin{aligned}(u + w_0^{-1})^{-1} &= P(w_0)(u + w_0^{-1})_{w_0}^{-1} \\ &= w_0 - P(w_0)u + P(w_0)(u \star_{w_0} u) - P(w_0)(u \star_{w_0} u \star_{w_0} u) + \cdots .\end{aligned}$$

Working in the mutation of $V_1(e)$ at w_1, we see that there exists an open subset U_2 of V containing 0 such that if $u \in U_2 \cap V_1(e)$ then $u +_e w_1^{-1}$ is invertible in $V_1(e)$ and

$${}_e(u +\ {}_e w_1^{-1})^{-1} = w_1 - P(w_1)u + P(w_1)(u \star_{w_1} u) - P(w_1)(u \star_{w_1} u \star_{w_1} u) + \cdots .$$

Observe that for $u, v \in V_1(e)$,

$$\begin{aligned}u \star_{w_0} v &= P(u, v)w_0 \\ &= P(P(e)u, P(e)v)w_0 \\ &= P(e)P(u, v)P(e)w_0 \\ &= P(e)P(u, v)w_1 \\ &= P(e)(u \star_{w_1} v).\end{aligned}$$

Let $U := U_1 \cap U_2 \cap V_1(e) \cap Q$. Then U is an open subset of $V_1(e) \cap Q$ containing 0. Consider an element $u \in U$. Calculate as follows to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&P(e)(u + u_0 + a^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= P(e)(u + w_0^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= P(e)(w_0 - P(w_0)u + P(w_0)(u \star_{w_0} u) - P(w_0)(u \star_{w_0} u \star_{w_0} u) + \cdots) \\ &= P(e)w_0 - P(e)P(w_0)P(e)u + P(e)P(w_0)P(e)(u \star_{w_1} u) - P(e)P(w_0)P(e)(u \star_{w_1} u \star_{w_1} u) + \cdots \\ &= P(e)w_0 - P(P(e)w_0)u + P(P(e)w_0)(u \star_{w_1} u) - P(P(e)w_0)(u \star_{w_1} u \star_{w_1} u) + \cdots \\ &= w_1 - P(w_1)u + P(w_1)(u \star_{w_1} u) - P(w_1)(u \star_{w_1} u \star_{w_1} u) + \cdots \\ &= {}_e(u +\ {}_e w_1^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= {}_e(u + u_0 +\ {}_e a_0^{-1})^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $u_0 + U \subset A$. As as result, it follows that A is open. Hence A is a clopen subset of $V_1(e) \cap Q$. But note that $V_1(e) \cap Q$ is convex and hence connected. As a consequence, we deduce that $A = V_1(e) \cap Q$. This completes the proof. □

Let $Y := \{(e, x) \in V \times V : e^2 = e, x \in V_0(e) \cap Q\}$. The compact set X can be put in bijective correspondence with Y as follows. For an idempotent $e \in V$, let $e^\perp = 1 - e$. Let $i : Y \to X$ be defined as

$$i(e, x) := e +\ {}_{e^\perp}(x + e^\perp)^{-1}(x - e^\perp).$$

For $x \in Q$, $i(x)$ stands for the case when $e = 0$, i.e. $i(x) = i(0, x) = \frac{x-1}{x+1}$.

Let $(e, x) \in Y$ be given. Let $x := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i$ be the spectral decomposition of x in $V_1(e)$ i.e. λ_i's are distinct, f_i's are non-zero idempotents which are mutually orthogonal and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i = e^{\perp}$. Then $i(e, x) = e + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i + 1} f_i$. Now it is clear that $i(e, x) \in X$. Also e is the spectral projection of $i(e, x)$ corresponding to the "eigenvalue" 1.

Let $(e, x), (f, y) \in Y$ be given. Suppose $i(e, x) = i(f, y)$. Since the spectral projections of $i(e, x)$ and $i(f, y)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 are e and f respectively, it follows that $e = f$. Now the equation $i(e, x) = i(e, y)$ implies that ${}_{e^{\perp}}(x + e^{\perp})^{-1}(x - e^{\perp}) = {}_{e^{\perp}}(y + e^{\perp})^{-1}(y - e^{\perp})$ which in turn implies that $x = y$. This proves that i is injective.

Let $u \in X$ be given. Since $-1 \leq u \leq 1$, the spectrum of u is contained in $[-1, 1]$. Let e be the spectral projection of u corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. Then there exits non-zero orthogonal idempotents $f_1, f_2, \cdots f_n$ and distinct scalars $\mu_1, \mu_2, \cdots, \mu_n \in [-1, 1)$ such that $u = e + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i f_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i = e^{\perp}$. Let $\lambda_i \geq 0$ be such that $\mu_i = \frac{\lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i + 1}$. Set $x := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_i$. Then $x \in V_1(e^{\perp}) = V_0(e)$. Clearly $i(e, x) = u$. This proves that i is surjective.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $(e, x) \in Y$ and $a \in \Omega$ be given. Then*

$$i(e, x) \boxplus a = i(e, x + P(e^{\perp})a).$$

Proof. We can assume that $e \neq 0$. For the case $e = 0$ is already proved in Proposition 3.2. Let $u := i(e, x)$ and set $v := {}_{e^{\perp}}(x + e^{\perp})^{-1}(x - e^{\perp})$. Then $u = e + v$ and $v \in V_1(e^{\perp})$. Let $\widetilde{u} := \frac{1-u}{2}$ and $\widetilde{v} := \frac{e^{\perp}-v}{2}$. Then $\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{v}$ and $\widetilde{v} = {}_{e^{\perp}}(x + e^{\perp})^{-1}$. Set $a_0 = P(e^{\perp})a$.

Recall that the projection onto $V_1(e^{\perp})$ is $P(e^{\perp})$ and the one onto $V_1(e)$ is $P(e)$. Also the spaces $V_1(e^{\perp})$ and $V_1(e)$ are orthogonal i.e. $P(e)P(e^{\perp}) = 0$. Hence $P(e)\widetilde{u} = 0$ and $P(e^{\perp})\widetilde{u} = \widetilde{u}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} P(e)(u \boxplus a) &= P(e)(1 - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(\widetilde{u})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1}) \\ &= e - 2P(e)\widetilde{u} + 2P(e)P(P(e^{\perp})\widetilde{u})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= e - 0 + 2P(e)P(e^{\perp})P(\widetilde{u})P(e^{\perp})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= e. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
P(e^\perp)(u \boxplus a) &= e^\perp - 2P(e^\perp)\widetilde{u} + 2P(e^\perp)P(P(e^\perp)\widetilde{u})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(e^\perp)P(e^\perp)P(\widetilde{u})P(e^\perp)(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(e^\perp)P(\widetilde{u})P(e^\perp)(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(P(e^\perp)\widetilde{u})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(\widetilde{u})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $u \boxplus a = P(e)(u \boxplus a) + P(e^\perp)(u \boxplus a)$. In other words, $u \boxplus a \in V_1(e) \oplus V_0(e)$. Now calculate by starting from the third equality of the previous calculation to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
P(e^\perp)(u \boxplus a) &= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(e^\perp)P(\widetilde{u})P(e^\perp)(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{v} + 2P(P(e^\perp)\widetilde{u})P(e^\perp)(\widetilde{v} + a^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{v} + 2P(\widetilde{v})\ {}_{e^\perp}(\widetilde{v} +\ {}_{e^\perp}a_0^{-1})^{-1} \quad (\text{ by Lemma 3.3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\widetilde{v}$ is invertible in $V_1(e^\perp)$. (To avoid obscure notations, we will simply write x^{-1} in place of ${}_e x^{-1}$ in the following calculation if $x \in V_1(e^\perp)$ is invertible.) Now calculate in the Jordan algebra $V_1(e^\perp)$ to observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
P(e^\perp)(u \boxplus a) &= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{v} + 2P(\widetilde{v})(\widetilde{v} + a_0^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{v} + 2(\widetilde{v}^{-1} + P(\widetilde{v}^{-1})a_0^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= e^\perp - 2\widetilde{v} + 2(\widetilde{v} - (\widetilde{v}^{-1} + a_0)^{-1}) \quad (\text{by Hua's identity in } V_1(e^\perp)) \\
&= e^\perp - 2(x + e^\perp + a_0)^{-1} \\
&= (x + a_0 - e^\perp)(x + a_0 + e^\perp)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $u \boxplus a = e + (x + a_0 - e^\perp)\ {}_e(x + a_0 + e^\perp)^{-1}$. In other words, $i(e, x) \boxplus a = i(e, x + P(e^\perp)a)$. This completes the proof. □

The following is now an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.4 and the fact that the map $i : Y \to X$ is a bijection.

Corollary 3.5 *The map $X \ni u \to u \boxplus a \in X$ is injective for every $a \in \Omega$.*

We denote the action on Q on X, obtained by applying Lemma 2.1, extending the action $\boxplus$ of Ω on X by $\boxplus$ itself. We now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.6 *Let V be a finite dimensional real Euclidean Jordan algebra with identity 1 and let Q be the closed convex cone of squares in V. Let $X := \{u \in V : -1 \leq u \leq 1\}$.*

Then X is the Wiener-Hopf compactification of Q where the action of $\Omega := Int(Q)$ on X is given by the equation

$$u \boxplus a := 1 - 2\widetilde{u} + 2P(\widetilde{u})(\widetilde{u} + a^{-1})^{-1}$$

for $u \in X$ and $a \in \Omega$. Here for $u \in X$, $\widetilde{u} := \frac{1-u}{2}$.

Proof. We only need to verify conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3) of Definition 2.2. Let $a \in Q$ be given. We claim that

$$\{u \boxplus (b+a) : u \in X, b \in \Omega\} = \{u \in X : u > i(a)\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that the map $i : Q \to X$ defined by $i(x) = \frac{x-1}{x+1}$ preserves the order $<$ i.e. $i(x) < i(y)$ if and only if $y - x \in \Omega$. Let $u \in X$ and $b \in \Omega$ be given. Choose a sequence $x_n \in Q$ such that $i(x_n) \to u$. Then $i(x_n + b + a) \to u \boxplus (b + a)$ and $i(x_n + b + a) \geq i(b + a)$. Hence $u \boxplus (b + a) \geq i(b + a) > i(a)$.

Let $u \in X$ be such that $u > i(a)$. Observe that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $u > i(a + \epsilon)$. Let x_n be a sequence in Q such that $i(x_n) \to u$. Then $i(x_n) > i(a + \epsilon)$ eventually. Let $y_n := x_n - a - \epsilon$. Note that $y_n \in \Omega$ eventually. Since X is compact, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that $i(y_n)$ converges and let $v \in X$ be its limit. Then clearly

$$v \boxplus (\epsilon + a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} i(y_n) \boxplus (\epsilon + a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} i(y_n + \epsilon + a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} i(x_n) = u.$$

This proves the claim. Since $\{u \in X : u > i(a)\}$ is open in X, it follows that (C1) is satisfied.

For $u \in X$, let

$$A_u := \{a \in V : \exists (a_1, a_2, v) \in \Omega \times \Omega \times X \text{ such that } a = a_1 - a_2 \text{ and } u \boxplus a_1 = v \boxplus a_2\}.$$

Let $(e, x) \in Y$ be given. We claim that

$$A_{i(e,x)} = \{a \in V : x + P(e^\perp)a \geq 0\}.$$

Let $a \in A_{i(e,x)}$ be given. Then there exists $(f, y) \in Y$, $a_1, a_2 \in \Omega$ such that $a = a_1 - a_2$ and $i(e, x) \boxplus a_1 = i(f, y) \boxplus a_2$. By Lemma 3.4, this implies that $e = f$ and $x + P(e^\perp)a_1 = y + P(e^\perp)a_2$. Since $y \geq 0$, it follows that $x + P(e^\perp)a = x + P(e^\perp)(a_1) - P(e^\perp)a_2 = y \geq 0$. Let $a \in V$ be such that $x + P(e^\perp)a \geq 0$. Write $a = a_1 - a_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in \Omega$. Set $y := x + P(e^\perp)a$. Then $y \in V_1(e^\perp) \cap Q$. Again, by Lemma 3.4, $i(e, x) \boxplus a_1 = i(e, y) \boxplus a_2$. Hence $a \in A_{i(e,x)}$. This proves the claim.

Let $u_0 = i(0,0)$. Then $\{u_0 \boxplus a : a \in \Omega\} = \{i(a) : a \in \Omega\}$ is dense in X. For $i(Q)$ is dense in X and Ω is dense in Q. Also note that $A_{u_0} = \{a \in V : 0 + P(1)a \geq 0\} = Q$. This proves that (C2) is satisfied.

Let $(e,x),(f,y) \in Y$ be such that $A_{i(e,x)} = A_{i(f,y)}$. Consider an element a in the subspace $V_1(e) \boxplus V_{\frac{1}{2}}(e)$. Note that for $x + tP(e^\perp)a = x$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $ta \in A_{i(e,x)} = A_{i(f,y)}$. This implies that $y + tP(f^\perp)a \geq 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This forces that $P(f^\perp)a = 0$ i.e. $a \in V_1(f) \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)$. That is $V_1(e) \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}}(e) \subset V_1(f) \oplus V_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)$. By taking orthogonal complements, we obtain $V_0(f) \subset V_0(e)$. Arguing as before with e replaced by f, we obtain $V_0(e) \subset V_0(f)$. It follows that $V_1(e^\perp) = V_1(f^\perp)$ and hence $e^\perp = f^\perp$ or $e = f$. Now note that $-x \in A_{i(e,x)}$. Hence $-x \in A_{i(f,y)}$. This implies that $y - P(f^\perp)x = y - P(e^\perp)x = y - x \geq 0$. Similarly, $x - y \geq 0$. Thus it follows that $x = y$. Hence $(e,x) = (f,y)$. This proves that (C3) is satisfied. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.7 *Let $X_0 := \{u \boxplus a : a \in \Omega\}$. Note that $X_0 = \{u \in X : u > -1\}$ (Eq. 3.1). Also observe that for $u \in X$, $u \in X_0$ if and only -1 is not in the spectrum of u.*

Corollary 3.8 *Let $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ be the Wiener-Hopf C^*-algebra associated to the cone Q. Then the K-theory of $\mathcal{W}(Q)$ vanishes.*

Proof. Let $X_0 := \{u \boxplus a : a \in \Omega\} = \{u \in X : u > -1\}$. By Proposition 6.6 of [RS15], it is enough to show that the K-groups of $C_0(X_0)$ vanish. Note that

$$C_0(X_0) := \{f \in C(X) : f(u) = 0 \text{ if } -1 \in \sigma(u)\}.$$

For $t \in [0,1]$, let $\alpha_t : C(X) \to C(X)$ be defined by

$$\alpha_t(f)(u) = f(tu + t - 1)$$

for $f \in C(X)$ and $u \in X$. Then clearly α_t is a homotopy of $*$-homomorphisms and $\alpha_0(f) = f(-1)$ and $\alpha_1 = id$. Note that α_t leaves $C_0(X_0)$ invariant. Thus $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ restricted to $C_0(X_0)$ is a homotopy of $*$-homomorphisms connecting the identity map with the zero map. This implies that the K-theory of $C_0(X_0)$ vanish. This completes the proof. □

We end this paper by remarking how our approach is applicable to other examples. We consider the continuous $ax+b$-semigroup considered in [RS15] . We refer the reader to [RS15] for the definition of the Wiener-Hopf C^*-algebra associated to a closed Ore subsemigroup of a locally compact group. Let

$$G := \Big\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R} \Big\}.$$

Note that G is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $\mathbb{R} \rtimes (0, \infty)$ where the multiplicative group $(0, \infty)$ acts on the additive group $\mathbb{R}$ by multiplication. Let P denote the semigroup $[0, \infty) \rtimes [1, \infty)$ i.e.

$$P := \Big\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : a \geq 1, b \geq 0 \Big\}.$$

Then P is right Ore and solid. Let us recall the Wiener-Hopf compactification of P from [RS15].

Let $Y := [-\infty, \infty) \times [0, \infty]$. The right action of G on Y is given by the formula

$$(x, y). \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \big(\frac{x - b}{a}, \frac{y}{a} \big).$$

Let $X := [-\infty, 0] \times [0, 1]$. Note that X is P-invariant. Let $X_0 := X(IntP)$. Observe that $X_0 = [-\infty, 0) \times [0, 1)$. We refer the reader to Section 7 of [RS15] for the proof of the fact that the compact space X, together with the above action of P, is the Wiener-Hopf compactification of the semigroup P.

Let $\mathcal{W}(P)$ be the Wiener-Hopf C^*-algebra associated to the semigroup P. We claim that the K-groups of $\mathcal{W}(P)$ are trivial. It is shown in Section 7 of [RS15] that $\mathcal{W}(P)$ is Morita-equivalent to $C_0(Y) \rtimes (\mathbb{R} \rtimes (0, \infty)) \cong (C_0(Y) \rtimes \mathbb{R}) \rtimes (0, \infty)$. By Connes-Thom isomorphism, it follows that $K_i(\mathcal{W}(P))$ is isomorphic to $K_i(C_0(Y))$ for $i = 0, 1$. We leave it to the reader to verify that $C_0(Y)$ is contractible. Thus $K_i(\mathcal{W}(P)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Remark 3.9 *Alternatively, we could also argue as in Corollary 3.8. By Theorem 8.1 of [Sun15], it follows that $K_i(\mathcal{W}(P))$ is isomorphic to $K_i(C_0(X_0))$. It is clear that $C_0(X_0)$ is contractible.*

References

[AJ07] Alexander Alldridge and Troels Roussau Johansen, *Spectrum and analytical indices of the C^*-algebra of Wiener-Hopf operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **249** (2007), no. 2, 425–453.

[AJ08] ———, *An index theorem for Wiener-Hopf operators*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 1, 163–201.

[All11] Alexander Alldridge, *Convex polytopes and the index of Wiener-Hopf operators*, J. Operator Theory **65** (2011), no. 1, 145–155.

[FK94] Jacques Faraut and Adam Korányi, *Analysis on symmetric cones*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1994, Oxford Science Publications.

[HN95] Joachim Hilgert and Karl-Hermann Neeb, *Wiener-Hopf operators on ordered homogeneous spaces. I*, J. Funct. Anal. **132** (1995), no. 1, 86–118.

[MR82] Paul S. Muhly and Jean N. Renault, *C^*-algebras of multivariable Wiener-Hopf operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), no. 1, 1–44.

[Nic87] Alexandru Nica, *Some remarks on the groupoid approach to Wiener-Hopf operators*, J. Operator Theory **18** (1987), no. 1, 163–198.

[RS15] J Renault and S Sundar, *Groupoids associated to Ore semigroup actions*, to appear in J. Operator Theory **73** (2015), no. 2, 491–514.

[Sun15] S. Sundar, *Toeplitz C^*-algebras associated to endomorphisms of Ore semigroups*, arXiv:1503.00828/math.OA, 2015.

S. Sundar (sundarsobers@gmail.com)
Chennai Mathematical Institute, H1 Sipcot IT Park,
Siruseri, Padur, 603103, Tamilnadu, INDIA.